

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/771,904	02/04/2004	Jen-De Chen	250809-1060	3869	
24504	7590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750			DU, THUAN N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<del>-</del>	GA 30339-5948		2116	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Commence	10/771,9	04	CHEN ET AL.	CHEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
		Thuan N.		2116				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TI R 1.136(a). In no ev n. eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNI ent, however, may a fill expire SIX (6) MON plication to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	)4 February 20	04.					
	- · · · -	This action is r						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) t	e held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rrection is requir	ed if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	ote the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume	n received. n received in A ents have been	opplication No	l Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	` .,	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/22/05</u> .			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,904 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

## **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claims 1 and 7, it is not clear how the system can detect and/or judge what a user wants. The system can only detect and/or judge what function selected by a user.
- 6. Claims 2, 3 and 8-10 are also rejected for incorporating the above deficiency by dependency.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/771,904

Art Unit: 2116

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission of prior art [AAPA] and Drerup (U.S. Patent No. 5,333,285).
- 9. Regarding claim 1, AAPA teaches a booting method substantially as claimed comprising the steps of:

causing the computer system to detect that a user wants to execute a hardware reset function or a software reset function when the CPU is in a crash state [application's specification, p. 1, ll. 13-17];

rebooting the CPU by executing a hardware reset operation [application's specification, p. 3, ll. 12]; and

deleting the data and predefined values of the memory to make the computer system return to a default state when the user chooses to execute the hardware reset function [application's specification, p. 2, ll. 11-15; p. 3, ll. 12-15].

AAPA also teaches that the computer system holds the data and predefined values of memory to make the computer system return to a setting state when the user selects to execute the software reset function [application's specification, p. 2, ll. 7-11].

AAPA does not teach that the system can execute the software reset function when the CPU is in a crash state.

Drerup teaches the system can execute the software reset function when the CPU is in a crash state [col. 2, lines 13-28].

Art Unit: 2116

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of AAPA to allow the system to execute the software reset function when the system is in a crash state as taught by Drerup. The modification would increase the reliability of the system by preventing the loss of data after the CPU crash.

- 10. Regarding claim 2, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the system should only return to the setting state before the CPU crash if the held data is correct.
- 11. Regarding claim 3, AAPA teaches that the memory is an SDRAM [application's specification, p. 2, ll. 9-11]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the teachings of AAPA-Drerup would be applicable in any computer system (including PDA) operates under any operating system (including WinCE).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 4-6 are allowed.
- 13. Claims 7-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM, EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,904

Art Unit: 2116

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

The fax number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

TD

May 22, 2006

THUAN N. DU